

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
ABSTRACT

Police – Petition of Sri M.Venkataramana Naik, ARPC 1357/TU of Urban Police District, Tirupati, against the punishment of PPI for a period of two years with effect, besides treating the period of suspension as “Not on duty” – Punishment set aside – Orders – Issued.

-----  
Home (Ser-II) Department

G.O.Rt.No. 2367

dated:16.12.2013

Read the following:-

1. Representation of Sri M.Venkataramana Naik, ARPC 1357/TU of Urban Police District, Tirupati, petition dated: 31.3.2013,
2. Govt.Memo.No.11854/Ser.II/A1/2013, dtd.22.4.2013
3. From the DGP, A.P., Hyd, Rc.No.1438/Appeal.4/2013, dated: 10.7.2013.

\*\*\*\*\*

ORDER:

The Director General of Police, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad in the letter 3<sup>rd</sup> read above, has informed that Sri M.Venkataramana Naik, formerly ARPC 2989/CT now working as ARPC 1357/TU of Police Urban District, Tirupathi was awarded the punishment of “PPI for two years with effect on future increments and pension”, treating the suspension period from 25.8.99 FN to 15.11.99 FN as “not on duty” in procdgs No. A7/OEPR/53/99, dtd.17.5.2002 for the following delinquency:

“Exhibited gross misconduct and misbehaved with one Kum.S.Shirisha, D/o ARPC 1649 S.Lakshmana Kumar of AR Chittoor by molesting and outraging her modesty on 18.8.1999 at 8 PM by stealthily trespassing into her house which is unbecoming of a member of disciplined force.”

2. Aggrieved by the Disciplinary authority, the petitioner submitted an appeal petition to the Appellate authority ie., the DIG of Police, Anantapuram Range, Anantapuram which was considered and rejected on dtd.7.12.2002. His revision petition was also considered and rejected by the Revision authority ie., the Inspector General of Police, South Zone, Hyderabad in procdgs No. 218 IGP/South Zone/ RR.II/2012, dtd.28.1.2013.

3. Now, the petitioner, in the representation 1<sup>st</sup> read above, has submitted a mercy petition to the Government, to set aside the punishment of “PPI for two years with effect on future increments and pension” besides treating the suspension period as “On Duty”.

4. Government, after careful examination of the matter with the records made available, is hereby set side the punishment of “PPI for two years with effect on future increments and pension” besides treating the suspension period as “On Duty” in respect of Sri M.Venkataramana Naik, formerly ARPC 2989/CT now working as ARPC 1357/TU of Police Urban District, Tirupathi.

5. The Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, is requested to take necessary further action in the matter.

6. The original O.E. records, received in the references 3<sup>rd</sup> read above, are herewith returned to the Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, and he is requested to acknowledge the receipt of the same to Government.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

T.P. DAS  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To,  
The Director General of Police, AP, Hyd.  
The Individual through the DGP, AP, Hyd.  
SC/SF

//FORWARDED ::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER